

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

JESSE MURPHY, III
on behalf of himself individually,
and ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY
SITUATED

Plaintiffs

v.

NORDSTROM, INC.

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION

FILE NO: 4:16-cv-2250

JURY DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES, PLAINTIFF JESSE MURPHY, III, on behalf of himself individually and all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") and complain of Defendant Nordstrom, Inc., (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendant") and for cause of action would show the Court as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a collective action suit to recover unpaid overtime wages, and for retaliation brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*

2. This action seeks equitable relief, compensatory and liquidated damages, attorney's fees, taxable costs of court, and post-judgment interest for Defendant's willful failure to pay overtime wages and compensation for hours worked, but not recorded or paid, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III files this Petition individually, and all others similarly situated, in the course of their employment with the

Defendants.

3. JESSE MURPHY, III, and all others similarly situated demand a jury trial on all issues that may be tried to a Jury.

4. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, on behalf of himself individually and the Plaintiff class, brings this action to recover unpaid overtime wages and compensation earned but not paid, by the Defendant pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*

6. This Court also has jurisdiction of these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* Venue is proper in the Southern District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

III. PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Jesse Murphy, III, is a resident of Houston, Texas.

8. Members of the "Plaintiff Class" are current and former employees of Defendant who work, or have worked, for Defendant.

9. Defendant Nordstrom, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Washington with a principal place of business at 1617 Sixth Ave., Seattle, WA 98101. Nordstrom, Inc. may be served with process by serving its registered agent for service of process, CT Corporation System, at 350 N. St. Paul St., Ste. 2900, Dallas, TX 75201-4234.

10. Whenever in this complaint it is alleged that the named Defendant committed any act or omission, it is meant that Defendant's officers, directors, vice-

principals, agents, servants, or employees committed such act or omission and that at the time such act or omission was committed, it was done in the routine normal course and scope of employment of Defendant's officers, directors, vice-principals, agents, servants or employees.

12. At all material times, Defendant has been an employer within the meaning of 3(d) of the FLSA 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

13. At all material times, Defendant has been an enterprise within the meaning of 3(r) of the FLSA 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

14. At all material times, Defendant has been an enterprise in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 3(s)(1) of the FLSA because it has had employees engaged in commerce. 29 U.S.C. § 203(s) (1).

15. At all material times, Plaintiff was an individual employee who was engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207.

16. Defendant is Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

IV. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

17. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, files this case as an "opt in" collective action, as it is specifically allowed by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

18. The class that Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, seeks to represent may be described as follows:

All current and former employees of Defendant that worked as

general laborers during the class period, and claims that he or she either failed to receive all of his or her overtime compensation, in violation of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et. seq.*

19. Plaintiff, JESSE MURPHY, III, seeks to represent only those members of the above-described group who, after appropriate notice of their ability to opt into this action, have provided consent in writing to be represented by counsel for Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, as required by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

20. Those persons who choose to opt in, referred to as the "Plaintiff's class", will be listed on subsequent pleadings and copies of their written consents to sue will be filed with the Court.

21. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III contends that this action is appropriate for collective action status because Defendant herein has acted in the same manner with regard to all members of the Plaintiff's class.

V. FACTS

22. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has been subject to the requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act 29 U.S.C. 201 *et. seq.*

23. For purposes of this action, the "relevant period" is defined as such period commencing on the date that is three years prior to the filing of this action, and continuing thereafter.

24. Defendant has employed Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III since June of 2016. Plaintiff works as a "general laborer" salesperson for the Defendant. Defendant's has operating offices from which Plaintiff works in Houston, Texas.

25. During the period of his employment that Plaintiff has worked for

Defendant, Plaintiff has worked as a general laborer.

26. During his employment and in the routine performance of his day-to-day job duties, Plaintiff has performed non-exempt work, during a significant period of most days, as classified by the Act, because the performance of Plaintiff's job required it and because Defendant's management required the performance of those non-exempted job duties, as a condition of Plaintiff's continued employment.

27. Specifically, Plaintiff works as a general laborer for Defendant's retail store getting paid an hourly plus commission wage as a salesperson.

28. Plaintiff's regular work week schedule is five days out of the seven day work-week with eight (8) hour standard base shifts in any given work week.

29. During Plaintiff's employment, while working for the Defendant, Plaintiff works overtime hours in excess of forty (40) hours worked during the seven day work-weeks.

30. Further, during these hours worked, Plaintiff has performed the function of his job, which included the performance duties typically performed by "hourly" paid non-exempt employees because the job required it and the Defendant's management required it, as a condition of Plaintiff's continued employment.

31. Plaintiff and others like him were routinely required to perform work "off the clock." Defendant demanded that Plaintiff and others like him perform off the clock work as a condition of their employment, which includes, but is not limited to overtime hours that have been improperly documented and not paid to Plaintiff in compliance with FLSA guidelines.

32. Defendant required Plaintiff and all others similarly situated to perform all

necessary work to include the performance of those duties otherwise typically performed by "hourly" employees which routinely required Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees to work "overtime" hours as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*, for which they failed to receive overtime compensation as required by the Act, and more than half the Plaintiff's total earnings in a representative period did not consist of commissions.

33. Defendant failed to pay statutory overtime as required by 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RETIE
(Unpaid overtime compensation under the FLSA)

34. Each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs is re-alleged as if fully written herein.

35. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, and all others similarly situated are considered non-exempt employees under the statutory provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S. C. 201, *et seq.*, as well as by the administrative regulations used to interpret the Act.

36. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, and all others similarly situated are entitled to receive overtime pay for all hours they have worked in excess of 40 during each seven-day workweek.

38. Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff and all others similarly situated, their entitled pay (including overtime pay) for those hours they worked in excess of 40 per week.

39. Defendant has violated 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* by failing to compensate the Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees "overtime" pay for all hours worked

in excess of 40 hours per week, and more than half the Plaintiff's total earnings in a representative period did not consist of commissions.

40. Defendant has failed to keep or record time records reflecting what hour's employees, such as the Plaintiff and those similarly situated actually worked.

41. The Defendant's conduct was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C 255(a).

42. No lawful exemption excused the Defendant from compensating Plaintiff and all others similarly situated, overtime pay for hours worked over forty per week.

43. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out an illegal pattern and practice of deceptive and fraudulent accounting practices regarding overtime compensation due to Plaintiff and to all others similarly situated.

44. Plaintiff and all others similarly situated seek an amount of back-pay equal to the unpaid overtime compensation from the date they commenced employment for the Defendant until the date of trial.

45. Plaintiff and all others similarly situated further seek an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b) along with post judgment interest at the highest rate allowed by law.

VII. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

46. Each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraph is re-alleged as if fully written herein.

47. Other employees have been victimized by this pattern, practice, and policy of the Defendant that is in violation of the FLSA.

48. Thus, from personal knowledge, Plaintiff is aware that the illegal practices

and policies of Defendant have been imposed on other workers.

49. Other, similarly situated employees are being denied their lawful wages.

50. Accordingly, Defendant's pattern and practice of failing to pay overtime pay (at time and one-half) to its employees as required by the FLSA results from Defendant's general application of policies and practices, and does not depend on the personal circumstances of the members' class.

51. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III's experience is typical of the experience of the member's class as it pertains to compensation.

52. The specific job titles or job requirements of the various members of the class do not prevent collective treatment.

53. All employees, regardless of their job requirements or rates of pay, who are denied overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of 40 per week, are similarly situated.

54. Although the issue of damages may be individual in character, there is no detracting from the common nucleus of liability facts.

55. All current and former employees who worked as general laborers for Defendant who at any time during the three years prior to the date of filing of this action to the date of judgment who were denied overtime pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in any given workweek are properly included as members of the class.

VIII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to compensate for work performed "off the clock")

56. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, and all others similarly situated are considered

non-exempt employees under the statutory provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S. C. 201, et seq., as well as by the administrative regulations used to interpret the Act.

57. Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff and all others similarly situated, their entitled pay for all hours they worked in a workweek.

58. Defendant failed to make good faith efforts to comply with the FLSA, and have willfully and deliberately sought to evade the requirements of the federal statute.

59. Defendant failed to maintain a complete, accurate, and contemporaneous record of the number of hours worked per workweek by Plaintiff and by all other similarly situated employees, as required by law.

60. Defendant engaged in wage theft by not compensating Plaintiff and all others similarly situated for work they were required to perform for Defendant as part of their regular job duties by forcing Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees to continue to perform work, oftentimes for hours past the regular schedule.

61. Defendant demanded this wage theft as a condition of Plaintiff and all those similarly situated continued.

62. The Defendant's conduct was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

63. No lawful exemption excused the Defendant from compensating Plaintiff and all others similarly situated for hours worked, but not recorded or paid in a workweek

64. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out an illegal pattern and practice of deceptive and fraudulent accounting practices regarding compensation due to Plaintiff and to all others similarly situated for hours worked, but not

recorded or paid.

65. Plaintiff and all others similarly situated seek an amount of back-pay equal to the unpaid compensation for hours worked, but not recorded or paid, from the date they commenced employment for the Defendant until the date of trial.

66. Plaintiff and all others similarly situated further seek an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), along with post-judgment interest at the highest rate allowed by law.

IX. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATION

67. Each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraph is re-alleged as if fully written herein.

68. Other employees have been victimized by this pattern, practice, and policy of the Defendant that is in violation of the FLSA.

69. Thus, from personal knowledge, Plaintiff is aware that the illegal practices and policies of Defendant has been imposed on other workers.

70. Other, similarly situated employees are being denied their lawful wages.

71. Accordingly, Defendant's pattern and practice of failing to compensate employees for work performed, but not recorded or paid, as required by the FLSA results from the Defendant's general application of policies and practices, and does not depend on the personal circumstances of the members class.

72. Plaintiff JEESE MURPHY, III's, experience is typical of the experience of

the member's class as it pertains to compensation.

73. The specific job titles or job requirements of the various members of the class do not prevent collective treatment.

74. All employees, regardless of their job requirements or rates of pay, who are denied compensation for hours worked, but not recorded or paid, are similarly situated.

75. All employees, regardless of their job requirements or rates of pay, who were the victims of the wage theft scheme engaged in by the Defendant, are similarly situated

76. Although the issue of damages may be individual in character, there is no detracting from the common nucleus of liability facts.

77. All current and former employees of Defendant's business, who at any time during the three years prior to the date of filing of this action to the date of judgment who were denied compensation for hours worked, but not recorded or paid in any given workweek are properly included as members of the class.

X. ATTORNEY'S FEES

78. Each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraph is re-alleged as if fully written herein.

79. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, and all other similarly situated, are entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs for bringing this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*

XI. JURY DEMAND

80. Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, on behalf of himself and all other similarly

situated, makes a formal demand for a jury trial in this matter.

XII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, and all other similarly situated respectfully request that upon hearing, the Court grant Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated, relief as follows:

- a. Declare that Defendant has violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, specifically, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by failing to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated, overtime pay at one and one-half times their regular hourly rate for all hours in excess of 40 worked during each seven-day work period;
- b. Enjoin Defendant from failing to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated, at one and one-half times their regular hourly rate for all hours in excess of 40 worked during each seven-day work period, and for failing to compensate for work performed, but not recorded or paid;
- c. Order Defendant to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated, the difference between what they should have paid for overtime hours Plaintiffs worked during the relevant period and what they were actually paid, as well as compensation for hours worked but not recorded or paid, together with an equal amount as to liquidated damages.
- d. Order Defendant to pay Plaintiff damages for its unlawful retaliation.
- e. Order Defendant to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated employees' reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- f. Order Defendant to pay post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rate for all amounts, including attorney's fees, awarded

against Defendant.

- g. Order further relief, whether legal, equitable, or injunctive, as may be necessitated to effectuate full relief to Plaintiff JESSE MURPHY, III, and to all other similarly situated employees of the Defendants.

Dated: July 28, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Dunn Legal Group, PLLC

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Brennen Dunn', is written over a horizontal line.

Brennen Dunn

Attorney for Plaintiff

State Bar No.: 24075487

Federal Bar No.: 1428057

2306 Blodgett St.

Houston, Texas 77004

Telephone: (832) 377-5783

Facsimile: (888) 715-2492